

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/522,513	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BROWNLIE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 1648	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After RCE

(1) BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL-examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Raymond Smith-Applicant's representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 February 2010

**Time:** 1:30PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 102 (b) and 103 (a).

Claims discussed:

128, 129, 131, 134-136, 144 and 164-167.

Prior art documents discussed:

Parker et al. and Knape et al.

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner contacted applicant's representative about proposed amendments that would place the application in condition for allowance. These amendments centered on the sequence identity of the S protein and SEQ ID NO: 4.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BENJAMIN P BLUMEL/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1648

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)